

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,570		06/27/2003	Akira Kikitsu	008312-0304515	4947
909	7590	09/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBU	RY WIN	THROP SHAW	BERNATZ, KEVIN M		
P.O. BOX	10500				
MCLEAN,	VA 22	102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 12 42 A1		<i>W</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/607,570	KIKITSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa							
	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	-,,	· ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the α 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	` ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Examiner's Comments

1. The Examiner notes that the specie restriction in Paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed June 9, 2005 contained a sentence accidentally left in from a prior specie grouping. In the final description of the various species mailed to applicants' on June 9, 2005, the Examiner notes that *no* claims are generic since all the claims are directed to either perpendicular or parallel magnetization embodiments. While applicants have elected a specie, the Examiner notes that applicants election could have been predicated upon the mistaken assumption that claims 1 – 6, 27 and 30 would be taken as generic claims. Since this is not the case, the Examiner is withdrawing the previous specie restriction and repeating it below. Applicants may choose to elect the same specie as before (*specie number 30*) or applicants may choose a different specie, given that *no* claims are currently found as generic. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience the additional action may cause.

## Restriction

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1 – 30 and 32 in the paper filed June 30, 2005 is acknowledged. Claim 31 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Regarding applicants' argument that an entire search can be done without serious burden, the Examiner notes that this argument is not found

persuasive since the examiner reminds applicant(s) that a separate classification is a prima facie showing of a serious burden (see MPEP § 803). In addition, while the search may be overlapping, there is no reason to believe the search would be coextensive. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. This application contains claims directed to the 55 patentably distinct species of the claimed invention listed in Paragraph 4 of the Office Action mailed June 9, 2005.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. No telephone call was made to applicants due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M Bernatz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1505. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,570 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMB September 9, 2005 Kevin M. Bernatz, PhD Primary Examiner